

Response to Written Questions

To:	ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS, PROPOSERS, OR OFFERORS
Date:	May 05, 2025
Project:	Hazard Mitigation Plan for Crockett County, TX
Reference #:	2025-001
Addendum #:	1

This document provides responses to written questions submitted for the project referenced above. These questions and the written responses are hereby incorporated and made part of the solicitation.

QUESTION #	QUESTION	RESPONSE
1	What jurisdictions will be adopting the Plan, in addition to the County?	Crockett County and the town of Ozona are the jurisdiction. Ozona is unincorporated so really Crockett County covers both.
2	It is helpful for us to know our audience so we can provide the information evaluators need to make an informed decision. Question: What are the roles of those who will be evaluating this proposal?	County Judge, Emergency Management Coordinator, Sheriff, County Road and Bridge Supervisor, and County Treasurer.
3	Are there any expectations or preferences for local, small business, DBE, or WBE/MBE/VOSB participation as part of the project team?	While there are no specific HUB or DBE goals assigned to this project, participation from SMWVBE, HUB, and DBE firms is strongly encouraged. Proposers are encouraged to identify whether they qualify under any of these categories and to provide details about any subcontractors they plan to use who also fall into these categories.
4	Will the County consider schedule adjustments if delays are encountered in FEMA review or if more stakeholder input is required?	Yes, the County understands that certain aspects of the project, such as FEMA review and stakeholder engagement, may lead to unforeseen delays. Schedule adjustments will be considered on a case-by-case basis, with appropriate documentation and justification.
5	Could the county please confirm the total amount of FEMA grant funding allocated for the development of the Hazard Mitigation Plan?	The total budget allocated for the Crockett County HMP is \$96,170.00.
6	Are there any cultural sensitivities, community dynamics, or historical contexts the consultant team should know when planning stakeholder engagement?	As a sparsely populated, rural county in Texas, access and communication styles may differ from urban areas. Engagement strategies should account for limited internet access in some areas, potential language barriers (Spanish-speaking populations), and a general preference for in-person or hybrid interactions when possible. Ensuring inclusive outreach across all parts of the county is important.

		<p>Consultants should work closely with County leadership to identify appropriate venues for engagement.</p> <p>Understanding the area's history of ranching, water use, and land stewardship may be important considerations. Messaging should emphasize how hazard mitigation supports local livelihoods.</p>
7	Are there minimum numbers of public or stakeholder meetings/workshops required or preferred to be conducted as part of the project?	<p>Although no specific number of meetings is required, the successful proposer will be expected to develop and implement a comprehensive public engagement strategy that meets best practices for inclusive outreach. The strategy should ensure that both the public and key stakeholders have multiple, accessible opportunities to participate and provide input at meaningful stages of the project. The number and format of meetings should be sufficient to establish a clear record of inclusive and accessible outreach.</p>

When issued, Addenda shall automatically become part of the solicitation documents and shall supersede any previous specifications and/or provisions in conflict with the Addenda. It is the responsibility of the respondent to ensure that they have obtained all Addenda. By submitting a bid, proposal, or offer in response to the solicitation, respondents shall be deemed to have received all Addenda and to have incorporated them into their bids, proposals, or offers.